Research Introduction

Louis Rustenholz^{1,2}

¹Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM), Spain ²IMDEA Software Institute, Spain

February 6th, 2025 PLIS Group, Roskilde University

CLIP Lab @ IMDEA Software Institute. Madrid



IMDEA Software Institute

Madrid Institute for Advanced Studies Focus: Safe, Reliable and Efficient Software

> Program Analysis and Verification, Cryptography and Cybersecurity, Languages and Compilers, ...

CLIP Lab

The Computational logic, Languages, Implementation, and Parallelism Laboratory



Manuel Hermenegildo









Jose F. Morales Manuel Carro



Daniel

Jurio



Ferreiro



Rustenholz



Ciccale







Paula

Kirelys Lugó





An Extensible Logic Programming Language, designed to make full use of advanced Analysis, Verification and Optimisation

Louis Rustenholz

CLIP Lab @ IMDEA Software Institute, Madrid







Preprocessor Option Browser

Ø

Use Saved Menu Configuration (menu_last_config) :	none	~
Menu Level (menu_level) :	naive	~
Action (inter_all) :	check_assertions	\sim
Analysis Domain (assert_ctcheck) :	nanual	\sim
Modules to Check (ct modular) :	curr_mod	\sim
Customize Analysis Flags (check config ana) :	on	\sim
Analyze Non-Failure (ana_nf) :	nf	~
Analyze Numeric (ana_num) :	none	\sim
Analyze Cost (ana cost) :	none	~
Analyze Determinism (ana det) :	det	\sim
Analysis entry (entry_point) :	entry	\sim
Incremental (incremental) :	off	\sim
Intermodular (intermod) :	off	\sim
Report Non-Verified Assrts (ass_not_stat_eval) :	warning	\sim
Generate Certificate (gen_certificate) :	off	\sim
Generate CT Checking Intervals (ctchecks intervals) :	on	\sim
Generate Output (menu output) :	on	\sim
Output Language (output_lang) :	source	\sim
Include Program Point (pp info)	off	\sim
Multi-variant Analysis Results (vers)	off	\sim
Collapse Versions (collapse_ai_vers) :	on	~

```
$pragma check fir(xm, coeffs, state, LLENENTS):
(1 <= LLENENTS & energy <= 41.6.0)
$pragma true fir(xm, coeffs, state, LLENENTS):
(energy >= 3.35*LLENENTS + 13.96 & 66
energy <= 3.35*LLENENTS & 14.4.4)
$pragma checked fir(xm, coeffs, state, LLENENTS):
(1 <= LLENENTS & LENENTS >: 102 & energy <= 416.1)
$pragma false fir(xm, coeffs, state, LLENENTS):
(121 <= LLENENTS & energy <= 416.1)
int fir(fmt xm, int coeffs[], int state[], int ELENENTS)
```

int fr(int in, int toerss[] int state[], int state[] = state[] = state[] = state[] = state[] = state[] = n; (ynh, ynl] = macs(coeffs[]], state[], ynh, ynl); } state[] = n; (ynh, ynl] = macs(coeffs[0], n, ynh, ynl); f(sext(ynh, 24) == ynh) { ynh = (ynh < 40 | ynh = 0x8000000; } else if (ynh < 0) (ynh = 0x8000000; } else (ynh = NFffffff;) return ynh]

PhD Research Topic

Abstract Interpretation-based Static Analysis of Cost Properties (resource consumption) of software, with a particular focus on Energy Consumption



PhD Research Topic

Abstract Interpretation-based Static Analysis of Cost Properties (resource consumption) of software, with a particular focus on Energy Consumption

Key personal motivation, in terms of societal impact: carbon footprint of IT.





Energy Usage in IT. By subfield, and case studies on a data center.

Energy consumption *of programs* is relevant and understudied by carbon audit experts.

Previous collaboration: ENTRA project ENergy TRAnsparency



Also in contact with associations on evolution of carbon norms and regulations.



3/9

Personal Research Interests and Background

Background

- 2016–2018, Preparatory Classes, Mathematics / Physics (Louis-le-Grand, Paris, France)
- 2018–2022, École Polytechnique, MSc Multidisciplinary school of Engineering and Public Administration Major: Theoretical Computer Science and Mathematics
- 2021–2022, MPRI, Formal Methods and Programming Languages, MSc Joint degree ENS/Polytechnique/Université de Paris

Selected Past Projects (pre-PhD)



Personal Research Interests and Background

Current Research Interests

Static Analysis of Programs and Systems

- Automated discovery of truths, beyond verification: *automated understanding and improvement*
- Abstract Interpretation
- "Bounding the behaviour of systems",

beyond software: hardware/networks, or even cyberphysical systems, biochemical reaction networks

Applied Semantics

- Denotational Approaches
- Abstraction Methods
- Order Theory

Geometric Viewpoints

(Generalised) Recurrence Equations

- i.e. functional discrete equations, $f : \mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}$.
- Accurate, executable abstractions of systems
- Non-linear invariant inference
- Non-standard equations (CAS vs programs)

Dynamic/Static Cooperations

- Machine Learning + Formal Methods
- Observation + Reasoning
- (Optimisation/Search) + (Logic/Order)

PhD Research Topic

Abstract Interpretation-based **Static Analysis of Cost Properties** (resource consumption) of software, with a particular focus on **Energy Consumption**

Our cost analysis pipeline



Challenges

- Fine-grained (energy) cost models, hardware behaviours, etc. [WIP]. C.f. [ENTRA], WCET literature.
- Complex control flows, general programs [RustenholzMSc22, TPLP24, SAS24]. [WIP].
- State of the art in recurrence solvers [op.cit.].
- Improve size abstractions

[RidouxMSc24]. [WIP].

Generalised Recurrence Equations

i.e. functional discrete equations, $f: \mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}$. (Undecidable: must aim for bounds.)

CAS vs Generalised Equations

$$f(n) = a(n) \cdot f(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}) + b(n)$$

complex factors, simple recursive calls

(classical computer algebra)

$$f(\vec{n}) = \begin{cases} \dots \\ a \cdot f(\phi(\vec{n})) + b(\vec{n}) \\ \dots \end{cases}$$

simple factors, complex recursive calls complex control flow (program analysis)

(Unbounded) max/min

Conditionals, non-linear recursion, ...

$$f(\vec{n}) = \begin{cases} \cdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (a_{i,j}(\vec{n}) \cdot f(\phi_{i,j}(\vec{n}))) + b_i(\vec{n}) & \text{if } \varphi_i(\vec{n}) \\ \cdots \end{cases}$$

where $a_{i,j} \geq 0$, and $\phi_{i,j}, b_i, \varphi_i$ arbitrary.

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \le 2\\ n + \max_{1 \le k \le n-1} f(k) + f(n-k) & \text{if } n > 2 \end{cases}$$

Self-composition

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} f(f(n-1)) + 1 & \text{if } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Equation solving as pre/postfixpoint search [SAS24]

 $\mathsf{Equations} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Operators} \quad \mathsf{Solutions} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Fixpoints} \quad \mathsf{Bounds} \leftarrow \mathsf{Pre}/\mathsf{Postfixp}$



For a complete lattice, order $\mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ pointwise, and extend to $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$

Theorem

Let $\Phi : (\mathcal{D} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}) \to (\mathcal{D} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ be a monotone equation.

• If
$$f \in \text{Postfp}(\Phi)$$
, i.e. $\Phi f \leq f$, then $\text{lfp} \Phi \leq f$.

• If $f \in \operatorname{Prefp}(\Phi)$, i.e. $f \leq \Phi f$, then $f \leq \operatorname{gfp} \Phi$.

Insight: cost equations are typically monotone for this pointwise order.

Equation solving as pre/postfixpoint search [SAS24]

 $\mathsf{Equations} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Operators} \quad \mathsf{Solutions} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Fixpoints} \quad \mathsf{Bounds} \leftarrow \mathsf{Pre}/\mathsf{Postfixp}$



Equation solving as pre/postfixpoint search [SAS24]



Abstract Interpretation



Search on subvarieties: Templates, ∀-elim



Geometry-based expression Repair



Constrained **Optimisation**, with *provability constraints*

Louis Rustenholz

Interval Recurrence Equations @ Roskilde

Generalised signatures (richer domains/codomains):

- Classical: $\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}$
- Intervals: $\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z})^k \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z})^k \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z})^r$
- With environment: $L^{\sharp} \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z})^k \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R})$



- Novel size abstractions \rightsquigarrow interval equations more appropriate.
- Non-monotonic equations? Set/interval approach. (CPS/CRN, virtual counters, ...)

To solve them, we want some **bound separation** technique. $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}} \in ((\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R})) \to (\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}))) \rightarrow (\Phi_{lb}, \Phi_{ub}) \in ((\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}) \to (\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}))^2$ To solve them, we want some **bound separation** technique. $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}} \in ((\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R})) \to (\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}))) \xrightarrow{} (\Phi_{lb}, \Phi_{ub}) \in ((\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}) \to (\mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}))^2$

- Typical approach is conservative (and not yet well-formalised), especially in presence of some non-monotonicity.
- John has discovered a phenomenon where it is possible to preserve more precision.

 $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow (\Phi_{\downarrow}, \Phi_{\uparrow})$

However, its soundness is not yet proven, and seems to rely on the structure of $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}}.$

Monotonicity properties. Synchronised non-determinism?

• We will investigate the principles underlying this phenomenon: find out correct assumptions, and conclude with a proof.

Thank you for hosting me!

Questions? (Now or later this month)